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## Virtual Fence (VF)



## Virtual Fencing 101

This presentation was developed as an educational resource for NRCS staff and other technical service providers.

You will learn about:

- Virtual fence technical basics (how it works)
- Virtual fence effectiveness
- Opportunities and challenges
- Vendors in the US
- Costs
- Considerations for grazing plans
- NRCS financial support


## VF is a new technology that contains ruminant livestock with boundaries created on a farmer's phone

- Reduces the need for physical fence, specifically interior cross fencing
- Reduces fence maintenance and building labor
- Not intended to replace all physical fence; perimeter fence is still necessary in most cases

Livestock managers create and adjust virtual boundaries with a digital map user-interface, like Google Maps, that are communicated to GPS collars worn by the livestock


## The collars communicate through the use of base stations and/or cellular networks



As livestock approach VF boundary, collars produce audio cues followed by mild electrical stimulus to contain livestock in designated area



Virtual Fence vendors in the US

## Nofence

## 酸llagher ej

## VF collar examples by vendor $\triangle$ Nofence VㄷNCㄷ
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Credit: University of California Cooperative Extension

## Collar Maintenance

Maintenance requires running animals through a chute to:

- Swap out batteries several times per year
- With some systems, this can be done without taking the collar off
- Some systems require the collar to be removed and then refit
- Restart collars that may be having issues
- Tighten or loosen collars
- Clean off debris and manure

Apply grease to electrical connection points

## All systems require batteries

Some are rechargeable, some are not

$\Delta$ Nofence


## Example:

Nofence uses rechargeable batteries with chargers -

- Cattle battery holds a charge for 6-12 months
- Sheep/goat battery holds a charge for 3 weeks - 2 months


## Base stations operate off of solar power

- Some systems require a base station, others do not
- Base stations cost \$5,000 - \$12,000
- The station must be placed in an area with cell signal
- The station becomes mobile when installed on a trailer
- Collars connect via the base station
- Base stations have a radius of 10-12 miles
- Large ranches may require multiple base stations


## Effectiveness

- Audio cues are automated, predictable and avoidable so animals quickly learn to respond to the audio cue alone
- If an animals escapes -
- it will want to return to the herd based on herd mentality
- VF acts as a one-way fence and allows animals to re-enter without consequence
- Researched extensively; no negative impact on animal welfare when -ompared to physical fence ${ }^{1}$


## Benefits to the Farmer

- Create fences instantaneously, even with difficult terrain
- Decrease labor requirements
- Manage and move livestock from your mobile device
- No more searching for your animals in big pastures - use GPS to locate
- Track livestock in real-time \& monitor well-being
- Receive real time notifications of pulses \& escapes
- Identify sick animals quickly
- Increase quality of life
- Monitor animals anytime, from anywhere



## Benefits to the Land

- Provide grazing benefits in hard to reach/hard to fence areas
- No harm to wildlife
- Makes multiple moves per day feasible = soil health
- Aids in the adoption of regenerative grazing!

In the future, new tech will be incorporated into collars to:

- Maximize forage utilization
- Sense soil moisture and soil carbon
- Measure animal health metrics (temperature, estrus, calving, etc)


## Challenges

- Upfront cost of implementation
- Base station installation
- Fitting collars on livestock
- Areas with poor cellular signal
- Relies on functional technology
- More frequent animal handling for collar maintenance

https://www.agproud.com/articles/57553-virtual-fencing-when-to-make-the-switch


## Considerations for Incorporating VF into NRCS grazing plans

Develop a Grazing Management Plan (528) and identify management strategies to address resource concerns:

- To protect or manage sensitive areas within grazing units,
- To improve livestock distribution to meet soil and place resource concerns,
- Wildlife and other conservation concerns,
- Remove constraints and increases management flexibility


Credit: Wendy Johnson

## Other Considerations for Implementing VF



- GPS accuracy varies, but boundaries can be set as close as 15-20 feet from the area you need to manage
- VF may be used for internal fence, but does not replace perimeter fencing
- Provides opportunity to integrate livestock into whole farm management plans
- Trees and slopes may interfere with GPS
- Livestock location data can be used in conjunction with other field monitoring to ensure grazing plan objectives are met


## Field Applications

- Rotational grazing
- Weed control
- Cover crop grazing on row crop acres
- CRP grazing
- Grassed waterways and ditches
- Woodlands, silvopasture
- Public land grazing
- River corridors and floodplains
- Reduce fuel loads for wildfire mitigation
- Post-fire grazing
- Solar grazing


Bale grazing

## Where does it make the most sense?

- Targeted goat grazing
- Woods, hills, prairies, CRP, floodplains
- Cover crop grazing
- Multiple moves per day
- Large areas of unfenced grasslands


| Collar Cost | Vence | eshepherd | Nattle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Cost comparison example

| Size:Vendor: | $90 \mathrm{ac}, 30$ head |  | $1500 \mathrm{ac}, 100$ head |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vence | NoFence | Vence | NoFence |
| \$/ac | \$29.00 | \$40.00 | \$4.28 | \$6.86 |
| \$/head | \$88.00 | \$119.00 | \$64.00 | \$103.00 |

"Annual" cost is the total cost per year, including the annualized cost of the base stations and collars over their useful life. Based on 2023 prices.

## Current 528 payment schedules that support a virtual fence system

| 528 Prescribed Grazing | FY24 |
| ---: | ---: |
| National Payment Schedules | $\$ / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| Rangeland Standard | $\$ 9.87$ |
| Range Long Term Monitoring | $\$ 24.31$ |
| Habitat Management Standard | $\$ 21.24$ |
| Habitat Mgmt Long Term Mon. | $\$ 34.24$ |
| Pasture Standard | $\$ 63.76$ |
| Pasture Intensive | $\$ 167.32$ |
| Pasture Deferrment | $\$ 6.13$ |
| Range Deferrment | $\$ 3.33$ |
| Grazing mgmt on 5 acres or less | $\$ 247.46$ |
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## NRCS opportunities to support VF

Programs: EQIP
Timeframe: 5 year contracts
NRCS 528 plan:

- Will subsidize collars OR base station(s) upfront to support implementation
- 50-60\% subsidization; varies by state and/or program
- $90 \%$ if underserved or a beginning farmer
- Payments in years 2-5 will support ongoing subscription costs
- Begins October 1, 2024

Steps to make it happen:

- Coach producers
- NRCS employee awareness and training


## Resources

- Virtual Fencing: Emerging Companies, Functionality and Benefits
- Virtual Fencing: A Climate Adaptation Strategy
- Researchers Explore Virtual Fencing as a Conservation Tool
- Virtual Fencing Technology for Cattle Management in the Pasture Feeding

System - A Review

2024 Virtual Fencing 101: South Dakota State

Virtual fence (VF) is a precision livestock management tool that creates an enclosure, barrier, or boundary without a physical fence. VF allows real-time automation of grazing management from a smartphone or computer. Livestock are fit with a collar that generates audio warnings and electrical stimuli.


GPS in the collar continuously tracks animal position and checks this against the virtual boundaries set by the producer. As an animal approaches the boundary, a series of audio warnings are delivered. If the animal does not turn away, the collar delivers an electrical pulse.

## VF aids in the adoption of regenerative grazing This technology can be applied in many different

 management scenarios:- Rotational grazing
- Weed control
- Cover crop grazing on row crop acres
- CRP grazing
- Grassed waterways and ditches
- Woodlands, silvgpasture
- Public land grazing
- River corridors and floodplains
- Reduce fuel loads for wildfire mitigation
- Post-fire grazing
- Solar grazing
- Bale grazing


## Vendors in the US include

Vence ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
Nofence ${ }^{\text {TM }}$
eShepherd ${ }^{\text {ma }}$
Corral Technologies ${ }^{\text {tm }}$

## Cost of VF varies by vendor:

Costs include collars and monthly subscription fees, and base stations, in some cases.

## NRCS support of VF:

Producers are encouraged to work with their NRCS representatives to incorporate VF into a Prescribed Grazing 528 plan. As part of this plan producers may have the opportunity to receive a per acre grazing payment each year of their contract to help offset the cost of VF.


